

ISPS COMPLIANT PORT

### வ.உ.சிதம்பரனார் துறைமுகஆணையம் वी.ओ. चिदम्बरनारपत्तनप्राधिकरण

#### V.O.CHIDAMBARANAR PORTAUTHORITY

पत्तन, पोतपरिवहनऔरजलमार्गमंत्रालय MINISTRY OF PORTS, SHIPPING AND WATERWAYSभारतसरकार GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, HARBOUR ESTATE, TUTICORIN 628 004, TAMIL NADU



Date: 30.09.2025

No.E(C) / F.59 / PD/ Effective Capacity / E 7289/2025 /D.3098

То

All Bidders.

#### **CORRIGENDUM - 4**

**Sub:** Consultancy services for Effective Capacity Assessment of All Major Ports by using a Standardized Methodology – Reply to the Pre bid Queries - reg.

Gentlemen,

With reference to the clarification sought by the Tenderers through E-Mail and discussion during Pre – bid meeting for the subject work held on **03.09.2025**, the reply to the queries / amendment to the Tender document is attached herewith.

2. The amendment and clarification along with enclosures will form part of the Tender document to be signed in all pages by the Tenderers and to be enclosed along with the Tender documents. The amendment and clarification are applicable to all corresponding clauses of the document wherever incorporated.

Sd/-CHIEF ENGINEER

# NAME OF WORK: CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR EFFECTIVE CAPACITY ASSESSMENT OF ALL MAJOR PORTS BY USING A STANDARDIZED METHODOLOGY

#### **REPLY TO PRE-BID QUERIES**

| SI.N | Tender Clause &   | Tender Conditions  | Queries by the Bidder  | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.  |  |  | Port                              |
| 1.   | 1. INTRODUCTION -Clause 1.6- SCHEDULE OF SELECTION PROCESS -Page 8            | Proposal due date or PDD-<br>18/09/2025 at 15:00 hrs.  | We request the Authority to extend the Bid Submission date to at least 21 days post release of corrigendum   | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.       |
| 2.   | INSTRUCTION S TO APPLICANTS GENERAL - Clause 11.4, Technical Proposal Page 16 | If an individual Key Personnel makes a false averment regarding his qualification, experience or other particulars, or his commitment regarding availability for the Project is not fulfilled at any stage after signing of the Agreement, he shall be liable to be debarred for any future assignment of the Authority for a period of 5 (five) years. The award of this Consultancy to the Applicant may | We kindly request the client to allow substitution of key personnel in this clause as the proposed penalty of a 5-year debarment and potential cancellation of the consultancy, especially in cases where non-fulfillment arises due to unforeseen circumstances beyond the control of the individual or the firm (e.g., health emergencies, etc.)  We suggest following inclusions in the clause:  • To allow for substitution of Key | Tender Clause stands.             |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &   | Tender Conditions                         | Queries by the Bidder                            | Clarification / Amendments by the  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| О.   | Page No.          |   |  | Port                               |
|      |                   | also be liable to cancellation in         | Personnel with equally qualified                 |                                    |
|      |                   | such an event.                            | professionals,                                   |                                    |
|      |                   |   | To allow substitution of key personnel           |                                    |
|      |                   |   | with prior approval from the Authority           |                                    |
| 3.   | INSTRUCTION       | Not Blacklisted:                          | We request the Authority to make the             | Tender clause is clear and stands. |
|      | STO               | The Applicant should not be               | following changes to the clause:                 |                                    |
|      | APPLICANTS        | blacklisted by any Central                | "The Applicant should not be blacklisted by      |                                    |
|      | GENERAL -         | Govt./State Govt./PSU/Govt. Bodies        | any Central Govt./State Govt./PSU/Govt.          |                                    |
|      | Clause 28.1.5     | in India. (Appendix I: Form 16-Self-      | Bodies in India <b>as on date</b> . (Appendix I: |                                    |
|      | (v)- Condition    | declaration).                             | Form 16 – Self-declaration)"                     |                                    |
|      | of Eligibility of |   |  |                                    |
|      | Applicants -      |   |  |                                    |
|      | Page 37           |   |  |                                    |
| 4.   | SCHEDULE-2 -      | This limitation of liability specified in | We respectfully request the client to cap the    | Agreed.                            |
|      | Clause 6.4-       | Clause 3.4.3 shall not affect the         | Consultant's liability to 1x of the consultancy  | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.        |
|      | Liability of the  | Consultant's liability, if any, for       | fee (at an amount not exceeding the total        |                                    |
|      | Consultant -      | damage to Third Parties caused by         | consultancy fee), for any damage caused to       |                                    |
|      | Page 67           | the Consultant or any person or           | third parties—whether by the Consultant or       |                                    |
|      |                   | firm acting on behalf of the              | any person or firm acting on its behalf          |                                    |
|      |                   | Consultant in carrying out the            |  |                                    |
|      |                   | Services subject, however, to a limit     |  |                                    |
|      |                   | equal to 3 (three) times the              |  |                                    |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &                 | Tender Conditions  | Queries by the Bidder   | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.                        |  |   | Port                              |
|      | Agreement Value.                |  |   |                                   |
| 5.   | Clause 28.1.5<br>and Page No 36 | Eligible projects shall mean (i) Projects of developing simulation models at Ports globally for capacity assessment / Port operations planning / cargo evacuation operations/equivalent. (ii) Infrastructure planning / design projects related to ports in India  | We request that eligibility may be considered as fulfilling either category (i) or category (ii), instead of requiring both, so as to ensure wider competition and fair participation of qualified Consultants.   | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.       |
| 6.   | Clause 28.1.3<br>and<br>Page 34 | Relevant experience of applicant A1 Experience of successfully delivering Projects of developing simulation models at Ports globally for capacity assessment / Port operations planning / cargo evacuation operations / equivalent and Infrastructure planning / design projects related to ports in India (completed) in last 7 years at ports. | We request that the requirement of "simulation model" be removed, and that DPR preparation, Feasibility Studies, and Detailed Design Projects related to Ports be included under the relevant experience category, since these assignments comprehensively cover port planning, design, and operational assessment, aligning with the objectives of this consultancy. | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.       |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &  | Tender Conditions                      | Queries by the Bidder                        | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|------------------|--|--|---|
| О.   | Page No.         |  |  | Port                                      |
| 7.   | Clause IV. Team  | Key Personnel                          | We request that the clause regarding "PhD in | Tender clause stands. Ph.D is only a      |
|      | Composition and  | 2) Port Planning Expert                | related subject (completed) will be given    | preference and not a mandatory criteria.  |
|      | Reporting: and   | 3) Simulation Modelling Expert         | preference" may kindly be removed, as        |   |
|      | Page             | PhD in related subject (completed)     | professional experience and relevant project |   |
|      | 14, 15 and 16    | will be given preference               | exposure should be considered adequate for   |   |
|      |                  |  | evaluating the suitability of the experts.   |   |
| 8    | 2.1 Scope of     | iii. Recognizing these limitations,    | In addition to the mentioned, we recommend   | The consultant shall carry out / consider |
|      | Proposal:        | simulation modeling – employing        | adding planning, scheduling & optimization   | all the necessary studies / factors       |
|      | (Please refer    | techniques like Discrete Event         | modules as deliverables to improve the port  | accordingly such that the scope           |
|      | Schedule-1)      | Simulation (DES), Agent-Based          | handling capacities as well as to ensure     | proposed by the Port shall be covered     |
|      | TERMS OF         | Modelling (ABM), or hybrid             | continued enhancements in the delivered      | in all aspects.                           |
|      | REFERENCE        | approaches – emerges as a              | solutions due to the possibility of          |   |
|      | AND SCOPE        | significantly more robust and          | infrastructural developments and new         |   |
|      | OF WORK          | dynamic methodology.                   | equipments that are added to the port with   |   |
|      | 1. Introduction: | Simulation allows for the creation of  | passing time                                 |   |
|      | Pg.9             | a virtual port environment where       |  |   |
|      |                  | complex operations, resource           |  |   |
|      |                  | interactions, and the impact of        |  |   |
|      |                  | stochastic events (like vessel arrival |  |   |
|      |                  | variability or equipment breakdowns)   |  |   |
|      |                  | can be accurately modelled and         |  |   |
|      |                  | analyzed. Leading global ports,        |  |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &      | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| О.   | Page No.             |                                       |   | Port                              |
|      |                      | including Singapore, Rotterdam, and   |   |                                   |
|      |                      | Los Angeles, have successfully        |   |                                   |
|      |                      | leveraged simulation models to        |   |                                   |
|      |                      | optimize critical parameters like     |   |                                   |
|      |                      | vessel turnaround times, berth        |   |                                   |
|      |                      | allocation strategies, and cargo      |   |                                   |
|      |                      | handling efficiency, thereby          |   |                                   |
|      |                      | improving productivity, reducing      |   |                                   |
|      | congestion, and enha |                                       |   |                                   |
|      | resilience.          |                                       |   |                                   |
| 9    | Clause 28.1.3        | The Parameters for evaluation         | We request to change it to the following since  | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.       |
|      | Pg.34                | criteria is prepared as under:        | currently it is very restrictive for getting    |                                   |
|      |                      | A1 Experience of successfully         | technically competitive bids and does not       |                                   |
|      |                      | delivering Projects of developing     | capture the capabilities of software or service |                                   |
|      |                      | simulation models at Ports globally   | provider who has done similar works in other    |                                   |
|      |                      | for capacity assessment / Port        | material handling and movement sites:           |                                   |
|      |                      | operations planning / cargo           | Experience of successfully delivering Projects  |                                   |
|      |                      | evacuation operations / equivalent    | of developing simulation models or              |                                   |
|      |                      | and Infrastructure planning / design  | planning/scheduling/optimization at             |                                   |
|      |                      | projects related to ports in India    | Factories/Mines/Ports globally for capacity     |                                   |
|      |                      | (completed) in last 7 years at ports. | assessment / operations planning / cargo        |                                   |
|      |                      |                                       | evacuation operations / equivalent and          |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &   | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|-------------------|---|---|---|
| 0.   | Page No.          |   |   | Port                                      |
|      |                   |   | Infrastructure planning / design projects       |   |
|      |                   |   | related to ports in India (completed) in last 7 |   |
|      |                   |   | years at ports.                                 |   |
| 10   | Clause 28.1.3     | The Parameters for evaluation           | We request to change it to the following since  | Tender clause stands.                     |
|      | Pg.34 & 35        | criteria is prepared as under:          | currently it is very restrictive for getting    |   |
|      |                   | A2 Experience of delivering projects    | technically competitive bids and does not       |   |
|      |                   | (completed) specifically involving      | capture the capabilities of software or service |   |
|      |                   | simulation-based assessment or          | provider who has done similar works in other    |   |
|      |                   | modelling of port operations, in last 7 | material handling and movement sites:           |   |
|      |                   | years at ports.                         | A2 Experience of delivering projects            |   |
|      |                   |   | (completed) specifically involving simulation   |   |
|      |                   |   | based assessment or modelling of                |   |
|      |                   |   | Factories/Mines/port operations, in last 7      |   |
|      |                   |   | years at ports.                                 |   |
| 11   | 28.1.5 Condition  | The Bidder should have successfully     | We request to change it to the following since  | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4 for definition |
|      | of Eligibility of | completed at least three similar        | currently it is very restrictive for getting    | of Eligible Projects.                     |
|      | Applicants:       | eligible projects in the last 7 years   | technically competitive bids and does not       |   |
|      | i. Technical      | ending on the last day of month         | capture the capabilities of software or service |   |
|      | Capacity          | previous to the one in which            | provider who has done similar works in other    |   |
|      | Pg.36             | proposals are invited. For instance,    | material handling and movement sites:           |   |
|      |                   | Work Completion Certificate along       | Eligible projects shall mean (i) Projects of    |   |
|      |                   | with work order / LoA from the Client   | developing simulation models at                 |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions  | Queries by the Bidder   | Clarification / Amendments by the   |
|------|-----------------|--|---|---|
| 0.   | Page No.        |  |   | Port  |
|      | Page No.        | has to be furnished. In the absence of a completion certificate, a CA/SA certificate confirming 100% fee receipt may be submitted.  Eligible projects shall mean (i) Projects of developing simulation models at Ports globally for capacity assessment / Port operations planning / cargo evacuation operations/equivalent. (ii) Infrastructure planning / design projects related to ports in India.  E. Simulation Model Development, Testing, and Application: Develop the port simulation model using appropriate software and techniques | Factories/Mines/Ports globally for capacity assessment / Port operations planning / cargo evacuation operations/equivalent. (ii) Infrastructure planning / design projects related to Factories/Mines/ports in India.  In addition to the mentioned, we recommend | Port  The consultant shall carry out / consider all the necessary studies / factors |
|      |                 | appropriate software and techniques (DES, ABM, or hybrid).   | handling capacities as well as to ensure continued enhancements in the delivered solutions in light of the infrastructural developments and new equipments that are added to the port with passing time   | in all aspects.   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &  | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the        |
|------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 0.   | Page No.         |                                       |   | Port                                     |
| 13   | F.               | Transfer the working simulation       | We recommend adding the following for the       | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.              |
|      | Standardization, | models (for VoCPA, DPA, PPA,          | long term benefit of the port authorities:      |  |
|      | Dissemination,   | JNPA) to the respective port          | 1) transfer of simulation model should also     |  |
|      | and Capacity     | authorities with support for at least | include transfer of software license to the     |  |
|      | Building:        | one year.                             | ports authority for their later consumption and |  |
|      | Pg. 46           |                                       | usage, and possible modifications of the        |  |
|      |                  |                                       | models due to possibility of infrastructural    |  |
|      |                  |                                       | developments and added equipment with           |  |
|      |                  |                                       | passing time                                    |  |
|      |                  |                                       | 2) the software license should be of perpetual  |  |
|      |                  |                                       | type so that the ownership of the application,  |  |
|      |                  |                                       | models and the data are solely under port       |  |
|      |                  |                                       | authorities and not dependent on payment of     |  |
|      |                  |                                       | future subscription charges and the software    |  |
|      |                  |                                       | and models can be operated independent of       |  |
|      |                  |                                       | the original provider                           |  |
| 14.  | IV. Team         | Master's in Engg. / Planning          | Please clarify whether key personnel with       | Tender Clause stands. Ph.D is only a     |
|      | Composition and  | /Transport/ equivalent from a         | extensive experience but without a PhD          | preference and not a mandatory criteria. |
|      | Reporting: A.    | reputed institute                     | degree will still be considered.                |  |
|      | Project Team     | PhD in relatedsubject (completed)     |   |  |
|      | (Key Personnel)  | will be given preference              |   |  |
|      | 2. Port Planning |                                       |   |  |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &  | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                          | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 0.   | Page No.         |                                       |  | Port                                      |
|      | Expert and 3.    |                                       |  |   |
|      | Simulation       |                                       |  |   |
|      | Modelling Expert |                                       |  |   |
|      | (Pg 15)          |                                       |  |   |
| 15.  | Cl. 28.1.5       | The Bidder should have successfully   | Most efficient and robust port facilities have | Tender clause stands.                     |
|      | Condition of     | completed at least three similar      | been designed and operational for over 15 to   |   |
|      | Eligibility of   | eligible projects in the last 7 years | 20 years. We respectfully request that         |   |
|      | Applicants i.    | ending on the last day of month       | experience credentials spanning up to this     |   |
|      | Technical        | previous to the one in which          | timeline be duly considered.                   |   |
|      | Capacity (Pg 36) | proposals are invited. For instance,  |  |   |
|      |                  | Work Completion Certificate along     |  |   |
|      |                  | with work order / LoA from the Client |  |   |
|      |                  | has to be furnished. In the absence   |  |   |
|      |                  | of a completion certificate, a CA/SA  |  |   |
|      |                  | certificate confirming 100% fee       |  |   |
|      |                  | receipt may be submitted.             |  |   |
| 16.  | Cl. 28.1.5       | Eligible projects shall mean (i)      | We kindly request that design projects related | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4 for definition |
|      | Condition of     | Projects of developing simulation     | to ports worldwide be considered.              | of eligible projects.                     |
|      | Eligibility of   | models at Ports globally for capacity | Additionally, based on our understanding, the  |   |
|      | Applicants i.    | assessment / Port operations          | preparation of Feasibility and Pre-Feasibility |   |
|      | Technical        | planning / cargo evacuation           | Reports are standard consultancy services      |   |
|      | Capacity (Pg 36) | operations/equivalent. (ii)           | and widely recognized as valid credentials.    |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &                    | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                          | Clarification / Amendments by the        |
|------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 0.   | Page No.                           |   |  | Port                                     |
|      | Infrastructure planning / design V |   | We also request clarification on whether       |  |
|      |                                    | projects related to ports in India.     | similar credentials for projects involving LNG |  |
|      |                                    |   | ports, marinas, and commercial or non-         |  |
|      |                                    |   | commercial ports will be accepted.             |  |
| 17   | vii. Presence in                   | In case Applicant is an international   | We are a global engineering consulting group   | If the bidder is a wholly owned          |
|      | India: (Pg:39)                     | firm, registered outside India, the     | with over seven decades of experience and a    | subsidiary company and if they want to   |
|      |                                    | Applicant shall have presence /         | strong portfolio of achievements under a       | rely on work experience of its holding   |
|      |                                    | office in India. If not, the Applicant  | unified banner. To broaden the scope of        | (Parent) company or another wholly       |
|      |                                    | may tie up with a firm registered in    | participation, we kindly request that project  | owned subsidiary of the holding          |
|      |                                    | India having experience of working      | credentials of parent and subsidiary entities  | company then work experience of such     |
|      |                                    | on ports and maritime sector in India   | operating under the same banner be             | holding company/subsidiary company,      |
|      |                                    | with Govt. sector clients to support in | considered. As a wholly owned Indian           | will be taken into account for the       |
|      |                                    | project as per ToR. For instance,       | counterpart, we seek your support in           | purpose of this bid, provided the bidder |
|      |                                    | Proof of office in India / Letter of    | recognizing these shared credentials.          | enters into a legally binding            |
|      |                                    | Intent / Association with an Indian     |  | unconditional agreement with the         |
|      |                                    | entity has to be furnished.             |  | holding company /subsidiary company,     |
|      |                                    |   |  | committing/giving undertaking of the     |
|      |                                    |   |  | holding/subsidiary company which shall   |
|      |                                    |   |  | be fully liable and responsible for the  |
|      |                                    |   |  | performance of the contract in all       |
|      |                                    |   |  | respects and the same shall be           |
|      |                                    |   |  | furnished along with the bid. Further    |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Con          | nditions    | Queries by the Bidder                          | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|--|---|
| 0.   | Page No.        |                     |             |  | Port                                      |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | such holding company/ subsidiary          |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | company shall give a guarantee jointly    |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | and severally, to the Board members of    |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | V.O.Chidambaranar Port Authority          |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | making them liable for undertaking, the   |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | performance of the contract in all        |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | respects and the same shall be            |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | submitted along with the bid. The legally |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | binding unconditional agreement with      |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | the holding company / subsidiary          |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | company shall be governed by the laws     |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | of the Country of India and any disputes  |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | will be settled in the manner as          |
|      |                 |                     |             |  | specified in the tender.                  |
| 18.  | Schedule of     | Proposal due dat    | te or PDD - | The scope of work is extensive and             | Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.               |
|      | selection       | 18/09/2025 at 15:00 | hrs.        | technically demanding, involving simulation    |   |
|      | process, page 8 |                     |             | modeling, multi-port analysis, and             |   |
|      |                 |                     |             | coordination across various stakeholders. An   |   |
|      |                 |                     |             | extension of three weeks will allow bidders to |   |
|      |                 |                     |             | prepare a more comprehensive and               |   |
|      |                 |                     |             | competitive proposal, ensuring quality         |   |
|      |                 |                     |             | submissions aligned with the Authority's       |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &  | Tender Conditions                      | Queries by the Bidder                             | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Ο.   | Page No.         |  |   | Port                              |
|      |                  |  | expectations. Current Deadline: 18/09/2025        |                                   |
|      |                  |  | Requested Extension: Till 09/10/2025              |                                   |
| 19.  | Condition of     | ii. Financial Capacity The Bidder's    | Considering the strategic importance and          | Tender clause stands.             |
|      | Eligibility of   | average annual financial turnover      | national scale of the assignment, we suggest      |                                   |
|      | Applicants, page | during last three financial years      | raising the financial eligibility criteria to ₹50 |                                   |
|      | 36               | (2021-22, 2022-23 & 2023-24) shall     | Crores. This will ensure participation from       |                                   |
|      |                  | be at least Rs.4 Crore (INR). For      | firms with adequate financial strength and        |                                   |
|      |                  | instance, Audited financials /         | proven experience in handling large-scale         |                                   |
|      |                  | balance sheets for last 3 financial    | infrastructure and planning projects, thereby     |                                   |
|      |                  | years or SA / CA certificate has to be | enhancing the quality and reliability of          |                                   |
|      |                  | furnished.                             | consultancy services.                             |                                   |
|      |                  |  | Current Requirement: ₹4 Crores average            |                                   |
|      |                  |  | annual turnover over the last three financial     |                                   |
|      |                  |  | years <b>Suggested Revision</b> : ₹50 Crores      |                                   |
|      |                  |  | average annual turnover. Considering that         |                                   |
|      |                  |  | the lead member only has to qualify for 50%       |                                   |
|      |                  |  | of this threshold, we feel that a 4 Crore         |                                   |
|      |                  |  | requirement will be inadequate given the          |                                   |
|      |                  |  | scale of this assignment. Also, we request        |                                   |
|      |                  |  | that the number of parties in a JV be limited     |                                   |
|      |                  |  | to 3.   |                                   |
|      |                  |  |   |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| О.   | Page No.        |                                       |   | Port                              |
| 20.  | Evaluation of   | A. Relevant experience of the         | Simulation modeling in port environments is a   | Kindly refer corrigendum 4.       |
|      | Technical       | applicantA1. Experience of            | relatively new and emerging domain in India.    |                                   |
|      | Proposals, page | successfully delivering Projects of   | Many technically qualified firms may not have   |                                   |
|      | 34              | developing simulation models at       | direct port simulation experience but possess   |                                   |
|      |                 | Ports globally for capacity           | substantial expertise in modeling logistics     |                                   |
|      |                 | assessment / Port operations          | hubs, intermodal terminals, and                 |                                   |
|      |                 | planning / cargo evacuation           | transportation systems. Broadening the          |                                   |
|      |                 | operations / equivalent and           | criteria will encourage wider participation     |                                   |
|      |                 | Infrastructure planning / design      | without compromising on technical capability.   |                                   |
|      |                 | projects related to ports in India    | Current Requirement: Experience in              |                                   |
|      |                 | (completed) in last 7 years at ports. | simulation modeling specifically at ports       |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | Suggested Revision: Experience in 2             |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | simulation modeling of logistics facilities and |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | transportation systems in or near ports,        |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | and/or for port allied infrastructure.          |                                   |
| 21.  | 28.1.3 A1 vs A2 | A1: Experience of successfully        | The difference between A1 and A2 is not         | Kindly refer corrigendum 4.       |
|      | The Parameters  | delivering Projects of developing     | clear. We would appreciate some clarification   |                                   |
|      | for evaluation  | simulation models at Ports globally   | on the difference.                              |                                   |
|      | criteria        | for capacity assessment / Port        | Also, we would request your consideration to    |                                   |
|      |                 | operations planning / cargo           | include port areas, and port allied             |                                   |
|      |                 | evacuation operations / equivalent    | infrastructure such as ICDs, CFSs, industrial   |                                   |
|      |                 | and Infrastructure planning / design  | areas near ports, etc. This is important as the |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                              | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Ο.   | Page No.        |   |  | Port                              |
|      |                 | projects related to ports in India      | operational concepts and fundamentals              |                                   |
|      |                 | (completed) in last 7 years at ports.   | remain the same across similar facilities.         |                                   |
|      |                 | A2: Experience of delivering projects   | Rather, we request VOC to evaluate the             |                                   |
|      |                 | (completed) specifically involving      | experience and credentials of the experts          |                                   |
|      |                 | simulation-based assessment or          | proposed.  |                                   |
|      |                 | modelling of port operations, in last 7 |  |                                   |
|      |                 | years at ports.                         |  |                                   |
| 22.  | IV. Team        | should have experience of delivering    | We would request you to extend the                 | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      | Composition and | at least 3 Projects of simulation       | experience requirement to include simulation       |                                   |
|      | Reporting: 1    | models at Ports globally for capacity   | modelling for large infrastructure such as port    |                                   |
|      | Team Leader     | assessment / Port operations            | access roads, airports, tunnels, expressways,      |                                   |
|      |                 | planning / cargo evacuation             | traffic signals, logistics facilities, port-allied |                                   |
|      |                 | operations / equivalent.                | infrastructure.                                    |                                   |
| 23   | IV. Team        | should have experience of delivering    | Both positions have the same requirement.          | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      | Composition and | Projects of Port design / planning /    | Could you please clarify their envisaged           |                                   |
|      | Reporting: 2    | capacity assessment / Port              | roles?   |                                   |
|      | Port Planning   | operations planning / cargo             | Below may be considered:                           |                                   |
|      | Expert          | evacuation operations / equivalent      | Port Planning Expert - should have                 |                                   |
|      | 4 Port          |   | experience of delivering Port planning / traffic   |                                   |
|      | Operations      |   | assessment and forecasting / capacity              |                                   |
|      | Specialist      |   | assessments.                                       |                                   |
|      |                 |   | Port Operations Expert - should have               |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                | Queries by the Bidder                         | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.        |                                  |   | Port                              |
|      |                 |                                  | experience of delivering projects related to  |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | port and yard operations, and logistics for   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | port and allied facilities.                   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Also, we would like to request that the       | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      |                 |                                  | education degree be broadened to include      |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | graduate in maritime studies, MBA, or similar |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | since several port operations and planning    |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | experts come from diverse backgrounds.        |                                   |
| 24   | 28.1.3          | Relevant experience of applicant | Simulation modeling in port environments is a | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      | Evaluation      |                                  | relatively new and emerging domain in India.  |                                   |
|      | criteria        |                                  | Therefore, VOC would benefit by giving        |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | higher weightage to the approach and          |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | methodology rather than firm experience.      |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Therefore, we request the following           |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | refinement:                                   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Current criteria: 40 marks for firm's past    |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | experience, and 20 marks for Approach &       |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Methodology                                   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Suggested Revision: 20 marks for firm's       |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | experience, and 40 marks for Approach and     |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  | Methodology                                   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                  |   |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                         | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| 0.   | Page No.        |   |   | Port                                      |
| 25   | IV, Team        | Master's in Engg. / Planning /          | We would like to request that the educational | Tender Clause stands.                     |
|      | Composition     | Transport / Logistics / equivalent      | qualifications and experience for this be     |   |
|      | Railway Expert  | from a reputed institute                | broadened to include graduate degrees in      |   |
|      |                 | At least 10 years of experience in rail | Civil, as well as post-graduate degrees in    |   |
|      |                 | operations / delivering projects        | MBA or similar, since rail experts come from  |   |
|      |                 | related to railway operations in ports  | several educational background.               |   |
|      |                 | / inland terminals / multi-modal        |   |   |
|      |                 | logistics                               |   |   |
| 26.  | 1.              | Proposal due date or PDD-               | We request the Authority to extend the Bid    | Kindly refer corrigendum 4.               |
|      | INTRODUCTIO     | 18/09/2025 at 15:00 hrs.                | Submission date to at least 21 days post      |   |
|      | N -Clause 1.6-  |   | release of corrigendum                        |   |
|      | SCHEDULE OF     |   |   |   |
|      | SELECTION       |   |   |   |
|      | PROCESS -       |   |   |   |
|      | Page 8          |   |   |   |
| 27.  | APPLICANTS      | Collect detailed operational and        | We understand the data will be collected      | Port will provide the data available. Any |
|      | GENERAL -       | cargo-wise data necessary to build,     | through existing reports and secondary        | additional data / surveys, if required,   |
|      | Clause 4 D-     | calibrate, and validate the simulation  | reports provided by Port Authorities and      | shall have to be collected / conducted    |
|      | Data Collection | model. This includes vessel             | would require no primary surveys or           | by the consultant.                        |
|      | for Model       | turnaround times, berth occupancy,      | collection of data. Please confirm.           |   |
|      | Building and    | equipment productivity & availability,  |   |   |
|      | Validation:     | yard utilization, cargo dwell times,    |   |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &  | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                        | Clarification / Amendments by the         |
|------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| О.   | Page No.         |                                       |  | Port                                      |
|      | -Page 12         | evacuation rates (modal split),       |  |   |
|      |                  | throughput metrics, etc.              |  |   |
|      |                  | Ensure data allows for separate       |  |   |
|      |                  | assessment of capacities for          |  |   |
|      |                  | different cargo types (Containers,    |  |   |
|      |                  | Dry Bulk, Liquid Bulk, RoRo, etc.)    |  |   |
|      |                  | and incorporates transshipment        |  |   |
|      |                  | cargo handling.                       |  |   |
| 28.  | APPLICANTS       | Develop the port simulation model     | Please confirm if these techniques are       | Port simulation models can be             |
|      | GENERAL -        | using appropriate software and        | finalized for developing a port simulation   | developed by appropriate software(s) &    |
|      | Clause 4 E.      | techniques (DES, ABM, or hybrid).     | model or successful bidder can use their own | technique(s). Hence, the term "hybrid" is |
|      | Simulation       |                                       | techniques other than these for developing   | mentioned in the ToR.                     |
|      | Model            |                                       | the models.                                  |   |
|      | Development,     |                                       |  |   |
|      | Testing, and     |                                       |  |   |
|      | Application:     |                                       |  |   |
|      | Page 12          |                                       |  |   |
| 29.  | APPLICANTS       | Transfer the working simulation       | For most simulation models are developed on  | Kindly refer corrigendum 4.               |
|      | GENERAL -        | models (for VoCPA, DPA, PPA,          | software which is run on license mode rather |   |
|      | Clause 4 F.      | JNPA) to the respective port          | than complete buy out mode. Therefore, we    |   |
|      | Standardization, | authorities with support for at least | request the Authority to clarify if the      |   |
|      | Dissemination,   | one year.                             | consultant should also consider the costs of |   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions | Queries by the Bidder                             | Clarification / Amendments by the     |
|------|-----------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| О.   | Page No.        |                   |   | Port                                  |
|      | and Capacity    |                   | transfer of license for a year in their financial |                                       |
|      | Building:       |                   | proposal.   |                                       |
|      | Page 12         |                   |   |                                       |
| 30.  | APPLICANTS      |                   | Since the project scope includes the              | The payment will be made as per       |
|      | GENERAL -       |                   | development of models for four ports, our         | Clause 2.1, 6. Terms of payment &     |
|      | Clause 6-Terms  |                   | understanding is that the quoted amount           | Deliverables. The corresponding       |
|      | of Payment &    |                   | covers all four ports collectively. Accordingly,  | milestone has to be completed for all |
|      | Deliverables:   |                   | for each category of deliverable, the payment     | the mentioned Major Ports, thereafter |
|      | Page 13         |                   | will be made on a unitary basis per port.         | the corresponding payment will be     |
|      |                 |                   | Our understanding is that if work has been        | released.                             |
|      |                 |                   | completed for two out of the four ports, the      |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | payment for the corresponding milestone           |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | should be calculated proportionally—i.e.,         |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | each milestone amount will be divided by          |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | four, and payment will be released based on       |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | the number of ports completed under that          |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | milestone.  |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | We seek your confirmation on whether our          |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | understanding of the port-wise milestone-         |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | based payment approach aligns with your           |                                       |
|      |                 |                   | expectations.                                     |                                       |
|      |                 |                   |   |                                       |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.        |                                       |   | Port                              |
| 31.  | APPLICANTS      | Team Leader:                          | We request you to consider experience in        | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      | GENERAL -       | Professional experience-              | ports, airports and other logistics sector for  |                                   |
|      | Clause IV. A    | At least 15 years of experience in    | the experience under professional and           |                                   |
|      | Team            | leading port planning / design /      | project experience as there are very few        |                                   |
|      | Composition and | operations related projects           | similar projects which has been executed in     |                                   |
|      | Reporting -     | Project Experience-                   | ports sector in India.                          |                                   |
|      | Project Team    | Should have experience of delivering  | Request you to modify the criteria as:          |                                   |
|      | (Key Personnel) | at least 3 Projects of simulation     | Professional experience-                        |                                   |
|      | Page 14         | models at Ports globally for capacity | At least 15 years of experience in leading      |                                   |
|      |                 | assessment / Port operations          | port/ airport in planning / design / operations |                                   |
|      |                 | planning / cargo evacuation           | related projects                                |                                   |
|      |                 | operations / equivalent.              | Project Experience-                             |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | Should have experience of delivering at least   |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | 3 Projects of simulation models at Ports/       |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | Airports / Roads / Railways globally or         |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | domestically for capacity assessment / Port     |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | operations planning / cargo evacuation          |                                   |
|      |                 |                                       | operations / equivalent.                        |                                   |
| 32.  | APPLICANTS      | Simulation Modelling Expert           | We request you to consider experience in        | Tender clause stands.             |
|      | GENERAL -       | Professional experience-              | ports, airports and other logistics sector for  |                                   |
|      | Clause IV. A    | At least 10 years of experience in    | the experience under professional and           |                                   |
|      | Team            | delivering simulation modeling        | project experience as there are very few        |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.        |   |   | Port                              |
|      | Composition and | projects in port / maritime sector      | similar projects which has been executed in     |                                   |
|      | Reporting -     | Project Experience-                     | ports sector in India.                          |                                   |
|      | Project Team    | Should have experience of delivering    | Request you to modify the criteria as:          |                                   |
|      | (Key Personnel) | Projects of Port design / planning /    | Professional experience-                        |                                   |
|      | Page 15         | capacity assessment / Port              | At least 10 years of experience in delivering   |                                   |
|      |                 | operations planning / cargo             | simulation modeling projects in the ports or    |                                   |
|      |                 | evacuation operations / equivalent      | logistics sector                                |                                   |
|      |                 |   | Project Experience-                             |                                   |
|      |                 |   | Should have experience of delivering            |                                   |
|      |                 |   | Projects of Port / Airports/ Rail / Road design |                                   |
|      |                 |   | / planning / capacity assessment / Port         |                                   |
|      |                 |   | operations planning / cargo evacuation          |                                   |
|      |                 |   | operations / equivalent                         |                                   |
| 33.  | APPLICANTS      | Railway Expert                          | We request you consider experience of           | Tender Clause stands.             |
|      | GENERAL -       | Professional experience-                | railways operations overall instead of specific |                                   |
|      | Clause IV. A    | At least 10 years of experience in rail | experience in port sector.                      |                                   |
|      | Team            | operations / delivering projects        | Request you to modify the criteria as:          |                                   |
|      | Composition and | related to railway operations in ports  | Professional experience-                        |                                   |
|      | Reporting -     | / inland terminals / multi-modal        | At least 10 years of experience in rail         |                                   |
|      | Project Team    | logistics                               | operations / delivering projects related to     |                                   |
|      | (Key Personnel) | Project Experience-                     | railway operations.                             |                                   |
|      | Page 15         | Should have experience of delivering    | Project Experience-                             |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                      | Queries by the Bidder                            | Clarification / Amendments by the  |
|------|-----------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.        |  |  | Port                               |
|      |                 | Projects of Port design / planning /   | Should have experience of delivering             |                                    |
|      |                 | capacity assessment / Port             | Projects of Port/ Railway design / planning /    |                                    |
|      |                 | operations planning / cargo            | capacity assessment / Port operations            |                                    |
|      |                 | evacuation operations / equivalent.    | planning / cargo evacuation operations /         |                                    |
|      |                 |  | equivalent.                                      |                                    |
| 34.  | INSTRUCTIONS    | If an individual Key Personnel makes   | We kindly request the client to allow            | Tender Clause stands.              |
|      | ТО              | a false averment regarding his         | substitution of key personnel in this clause as  |                                    |
|      | APPLICANTS      | qualification, experience or other     | the proposed penalty of a 5-year debarment       |                                    |
|      | GENERAL -       | particulars, or his commitment         | and potential cancellation of the consultancy,   |                                    |
|      | Clause 11.4,    | regarding availability for the Project | especially in cases where non-fulfilment         |                                    |
|      | Technical       | is not fulfilled at any stage after    | arises due to unforeseen circumstances           |                                    |
|      | Proposal        | signing of the Agreement, he shall     | beyond the control of the individual or the firm |                                    |
|      | -Page 16        | be liable to be debarred for any       | (e.g., health emergencies, etc.)                 |                                    |
|      |                 | future assignment of the Authority for | We suggest following inclusions in the           |                                    |
|      |                 | a period of 5 (five) years. The award  | clause:  |                                    |
|      |                 | of this Consultancy to the Applicant   | •To allow for substitution of Key Personnel      |                                    |
|      |                 | may also be liable to cancellation in  | with equally qualified professionals,            |                                    |
|      |                 | such an event.                         | •To allow substitution of key personnel with     |                                    |
|      |                 |  | prior approval from the Authority                |                                    |
| 35.  | APPLICANTS      | Not Blacklisted:                       | We request the Authority to make the             | Tender Clause is clear and stands. |
|      | GENERAL         | The Applicant should not be            | following changes to the clause:                 |                                    |
|      | -Clause 28.1.5  | blacklisted by any Central             | "The Applicant should not be blacklisted by      |                                    |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &   | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                         | Clarification / Amendments by the        |
|------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| О.   | Page No.          |   |   | Port                                     |
|      | (v)- Condition of | Govt./State Govt./PSU/Govt. Bodies      | any Central Govt./State Govt./PSU/Govt.       |  |
|      | Eligibility of    | in India. (Appendix I: Form 16-Self-    | Bodies in India as on date. (Appendix I: Form |  |
|      | Applicants –      | declaration).                           | 16 – Self-declaration)"                       |  |
|      | Form 16 and       |   |   |  |
|      | Form 2            |   |   |  |
|      | Page 37           |   |   |  |
|      | , Page 96, Page   |   |   |  |
|      | 114               |   |   |  |
| 36.  | APPLICANTS        | In case of JV / Consortium, max.        | Since the project involves various expertise  | Tender Clause stands.                    |
|      | GENERAL -         | number of members shall not             | from port planning, port operations,          |  |
|      | Clause 28.1.5     | exceed 4. Further, the Lead Member      | Simulation modelling, Simulation Software     |  |
|      | (vi) JV /         | must meet at least 50% of turnover      | etc. we request to remove the 50% of          |  |
|      | Consortium:       | & experience criteria as mentioned      | experience criteria as mandatory for Lead     |  |
|      | Page 37           | above. There must be a legally          | Expert.                                       |  |
|      |                   | binding agreement clearly stipulating   | Further, generally in India for bidding in    | Unincorporated JV will be allowed to bid |
|      |                   | the responsibilities and liabilities of | projects companies form unincorporated JV     | for the project. However, the consortium |
|      |                   | the members of the JV /                 | for bidding for projects. We understand       | members shall enter a legally binding    |
|      |                   | Consortium.                             | unincorporated JV will be allowed to bid for  | Joint Venture Agreement clearly          |
|      |                   |   | the project jointly. Please confirm.          | stipulating the responsibilities and     |
|      |                   |   |   | liabilities of the members of JV /       |
|      |                   |   |   | Consortium. Format of JV agreement is    |
|      |                   |   |   | enclosed. The filled in JV agreement     |

| SI.N | Tender Clause &   | Tender Conditions  | Queries by the Bidder                           | Clarification / Amendments by the      |
|------|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 0.   | Page No.          |  |   | Port                                   |
|      |                   |  |   | shall be uploaded in the "other        |
|      |                   |  |   | documents" category in the CPP Portal. |
| 37.  | SCHEDULE-2 -      | The Firm would be required to retain                                       | We request the following addition to the        | Tender clause is clear and stands.     |
|      | Clause 5-         | its working papers for legal and   | Authority "The Bidder may retain such portion   |  |
|      | Confidentiality - | professional regulatory purposes   | of the Confidential Information including its   |  |
|      | Page 66           | and thereby the confidential   | working papers that is required for             |  |
|      |                   | information that's contained in them                                       | compliance with its statutory, regulatory or    |  |
|      |                   | would get retained.  | professional conduct obligations"               |  |
| 38.  | SCHEDULE-2 -      | This limitation of liability specified in                                  | We respectfully request the client to cap the   | Agreed. Kindly refer Corrigendum 4.    |
|      | Clause 6.4-       | Clause 3.4.3 shall not affect the Consultant's liability, if any, for      | Consultant's liability to 1x of the consultancy |  |
|      | Liability of the  | damage to Third Parties caused by  | fee (at an amount not exceeding the total       |  |
|      | Consultant -      | the Consultant or any person or firm acting on behalf of the Consultant in | consultancy fee), for any damage caused to      |  |
|      | Page 67           | carrying out the Services subject,   | third parties—whether by the Consultant or      |  |
|      |                   | however, to a limit equal to 3 (three) times the Agreement Value.          | any person or firm acting on its behalf         |  |
| 39.  | SCHEDULE-2 -      |  | We understand there will be no full-time        | Yes. The personnel shall be deployed   |
| 00.  | Clause 15.2       |  | deployment of personnel at any of the ports.    | as and when required for completion of |
|      | Deployment of     |  | Please confirm.                                 | subject consultancy service and to     |
|      | Personnel         |  | Tiodo commin.                                   | make presentation before the           |
|      | Page 71           |  |   | management for each Port separately.   |
| 40.  | SCHEDULE-2 -      | The Authority expects all the Key  | The payment terms are delivery based so we      | Tender clause stands.                  |
| 40.  |                   |  | understand there will be no reduction of fees   | Terruer ciause statius.                |
|      | Clause 15.3.3.    | Personnel specified in the Proposal  | understand there will be no reduction of fees   |  |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                      | Queries by the Bidder                         | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0.   | Page No.        |  |   | Port                              |
|      | Substitution of | to be available during                 | for any substitution of Key Personnel. Please |                                   |
|      | Key Personnel   | implementation of the Agreement.       | confirm                                       |                                   |
|      | Page 72         | The Authority will not consider any    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | substitution of Key Personnel except   |   |                                   |
|      |                 | under compelling circumstances         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | beyond the control of the Consultant   |   |                                   |
|      |                 | and the concerned Key Personnel.       |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Such substitution shall be limited to  |   |                                   |
|      |                 | not more than two Key Personnel        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | subject to equally or better qualified |   |                                   |
|      |                 | and experienced personnel being        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | provided to the satisfaction of the    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Authority. Without prejudice to the    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | foregoing, substitution of one Key     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Personnel shall be permitted subject   |   |                                   |
|      |                 | to reduction of remuneration equal to  |   |                                   |
|      |                 | 2% (two per cent) of the total         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | remuneration specified for the Key     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Personnel who is proposed to be        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | substituted. In case of a second       |   |                                   |
|      |                 | substitution, such reduction shall be  |   |                                   |
|      |                 | equal to 5% (five per cent) of the     |   |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                       | Queries by the Bidder                       | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| ο.   | Page No.        |   |   | Port                              |
|      |                 | total remuneration specified for the    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Key Personnel who is proposed to        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | be substituted.                         |   |                                   |
|      |                 |   |   |                                   |
| 41.  | SCHEDULE-2 -    | The Authority shall retain by way of    | Given that the Performance Security already | Tender clause stands.             |
|      | Clause 18.1.1   | performance security (the               | serves the intended purpose of ensuring     |                                   |
|      | Performance     | "Performance Security"), 5% (five       | contractual compliance and risk mitigation, |                                   |
|      | Security Page   | per cent) of all the amounts due and    | we kindly request the Authority to consider |                                   |
|      | 75              | payable to the Consultant, to be        | waiving the additional Security Deposit     |                                   |
|      |                 | appropriated against breach of this     | requirement.                                |                                   |
|      |                 | Agreement or for recovery of            |   |                                   |
|      |                 | liquidated damages as specified in      |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Clause 18.2 herein. Further, A sum      |   |                                   |
|      |                 | @ 10% of the gross amount of the        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | bill shall be deducted from each        |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Running Bill of the Contractor till the |   |                                   |
|      |                 | sum will amount to Security Deposit     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | of 5% of the tendered value of the      |   |                                   |
|      |                 | work. Both Performance Security         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | and Security Deposit shall be           |   |                                   |
|      |                 | returned to the Consultant at the end   |   |                                   |
|      |                 | of 3 (three) months after the           |   |                                   |

| SI.N | Tender Clause & | Tender Conditions                     | Queries by the Bidder                       | Clarification / Amendments by the |
|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Ο.   | Page No.        |                                       |   | Port                              |
|      |                 | expiration of the Agreement as per    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | the T&C of the agreement. The         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | balance remaining out of the          |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Performance Security shall be         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | returned to the Consultant at the end |   |                                   |
|      |                 | of 3 (three) months after the         |   |                                   |
|      |                 | expiration of this Agreement          |   |                                   |
|      |                 | pursuant to Clause 2.4 hereof.        |   |                                   |
| 42.  | SCHEDULE-2 -    | In case of delay in completion of     | We request the Authority to limit the LD to | Tender clause stands.             |
|      | Clause 18.2.2   | Services, liquidated damages not      | 0.5% of the Agreement value per week,       |                                   |
|      | Liquidated      | exceeding an amount equal to 1% of    | subject to a maximum cap of 5% (five        |                                   |
|      | Damages for     | the Agreement Value per week,         | percent) of the Agreement Value.            |                                   |
|      | delay           | subject to a maximum of 10% (ten      |   |                                   |
|      | Page 75         | percent) of the Agreement Value will  |   |                                   |
|      |                 | be imposed and shall be recovered     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | by appropriation from the             |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Performance Security or otherwise.    |   |                                   |
|      |                 | However, in case of delay due to      |   |                                   |
|      |                 | reasons beyond the control of the     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | Consultant, suitable extension of     |   |                                   |
|      |                 | time shall be granted.                |   |                                   |

#### **CORRIGENDUM 4**

## NAME OF WORK: CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR EFFECTIVE CAPACITY ASSESSMENT OF ALL MAJOR PORTS BY USING A STANDARDIZED METHODOLOGY

| SI.No | Reference             | Existing clause  | To be read as   |
|-------|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1.    | 1. INTRODUCTION -     | Proposal due date or PDD- 18/09/2025 at 15:00 hrs.               | Proposal due date or PDD - 13/10/2025 at 15:00        |
|       | Clause 1.6-           |  | Hrs.  |
|       | SCHEDULE OF           |  | Opening of proposals (Technical) - 14/10/2025         |
|       | SELECTION             |  | at 15:30 Hrs.   |
|       | PROCESS -Page 8       |  |   |
| 2.    | SCHEDULE-2 -          | This limitation of liability specified in Clause 3.4.3 shall not | This limitation of liability specified in Clause      |
|       | Clause 6.4- Liability | affect the Consultant's liability, if any, for damage to Third   | 3.4.3 shall not affect the Consultant's liability, if |
|       | of the Consultant -   | Parties caused by the Consultant or any person or firm           | any, for damage to Third Parties caused by the        |
|       | Page 67               | acting on behalf of the Consultant in carrying out the           | Consultant or any person or firm acting on            |
|       |                       | Services subject, however, to a limit equal to 3 (three)         | behalf of the Consultant in carrying out the          |
|       |                       | times the Agreement Value.                                       | Services subject, however, to a limit equal to the    |
|       |                       |  | Agreement Value.                                      |
| 3.    | Clause 28.1.3 and     | Relevant experience of applicant A1 Experience of                | Relevant experience of applicant A1 Experience        |
|       | Page 34               | successfully delivering Projects of developing simulation        | of successfully delivering Projects of developing     |
|       |                       | models at Ports globally for capacity assessment / Port          | simulation models at Ports globally for capacity      |
|       |                       | operations planning / cargo evacuation operations /              | assessment / Port operations planning / cargo         |
|       |                       | equivalent and Infrastructure planning / design projects         | evacuation operations / equivalent.                   |
|       |                       | related to ports in India (completed) in last 7 years at         |   |
|       |                       | ports.   |   |

| SI.No | Reference             | Existing clause  | To be read as                                   |
|-------|-----------------------|--|---|
| 4.    | 28.1.5 Condition of   | Eligible projects shall mean (i) Projects of developing      | Eligible projects shall mean Projects of        |
|       | Eligibility of        | simulation models at Ports globally for capacity             | developing simulation models at Ports globally  |
|       | Applicants:           | assessment / Port operations planning / cargo evacuation     | for capacity assessment / Port operations       |
|       | i. Technical Capacity | operations/equivalent. (ii) Infrastructure planning / design | planning / cargo evacuation                     |
|       | Pg.36                 | projects related to ports in India.                          | operations/equivalent.                          |
| 5.    | F. Standardization,   | Nil  | 1. The consultant shall transfer the software   |
|       | Dissemination, and    |  | license to the Port authorities for their later |
|       | Capacity Building:    |  | consumption and usage, and possible             |
|       | Pg. 46                |  | modifications of the models due to              |
|       |                       |  | possibility of infrastructural developments     |
|       |                       |  | and added equipment with passing time.          |
|       |                       |  | 2. The software license shall be of perpetual   |
|       |                       |  | type so that the ownership of the               |
|       |                       |  | application, models and the data are solely     |
|       |                       |  | under Port authorities and not dependent on     |
|       |                       |  | payment of future subscription charges and      |
|       |                       |  | the software and models shall be operated       |
|       |                       |  | independent of the original provider            |
| 6.    | 2.4 Expiration of     | Unless terminated earlier pursuant to Clauses 2.3 or 2.9     | Unless terminated earlier pursuant to Clauses   |
|       | Agreement: Pg. 57     | hereof, this Agreement shall, unless extended by the         | 2.3 or 2.9 hereof, this Agreement shall, unless |
|       |                       | Parties by mutual consent, expire upon the expiry of 24      | extended by the Parties by mutual consent,      |
|       |                       | (twenty four) months from the date of commencement of        | expire upon the expiry of contract period as    |
|       |                       | contract. Upon Termination, the Authority shall make         | mentioned in clause 2.1. 6 of Section 2 of      |

| SI.No | Reference           | Existing clause  | To be read as                                       |
|-------|---------------------|--|---|
|       |                     | payments of all amounts due to the Consultant hereunder. | Tender or as extended by the Authority. Upon        |
|       |                     |  | Termination, the Authority shall make payments      |
|       |                     |  | of all amounts due to the Consultant hereunder.     |
| 7.    | 28.1.5 Condition of | In case of JV / Consortium, max. number of members shall | In case of JV / Consortium, max. number of          |
|       | Eligibility of      | not exceed 4. Further, the Lead Member must meet at      | members shall not exceed 4. Further, the Lead       |
|       | Applicants:         | least 50% of turnover & experience criteria as mentioned | Member must meet at least 50% of turnover &         |
|       | vi. JV / Consortium | above. There must be a legally binding agreement clearly | experience criteria as mentioned above. There       |
|       | Pg.36               | stipulating the responsibilities and liabilities of the  | must be a legally binding agreement clearly         |
|       |                     | members of the JV / Consortium.                          | stipulating the responsibilities and liabilities of |
|       |                     |  | the members of the JV / Consortium. The duly        |
|       |                     |  | filled in JV agreement shall be uploaded in the     |
|       |                     |  | "other documents" category in the CPP Portal or     |
|       |                     |  | along with any other documents. Format of JV        |
|       |                     |  | agreement is enclosed.                              |

---

#### **Joint Venture Agreement**

(To be executed on Stamp paper of Rs 500/-)

|      | JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT is entered into on this the day of 20   |
|------|---|
| AMON | IGST  |
| A.   | Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956/2013* and having its registered office at (hereinafter referred to as the "First Part" which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context include its successors and permitted assigns)  |
| AND  |   |
| A.   | Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and having its registered office at (hereinafter referred to as the "Second Part" which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context include its successors and permitted assigns)  |
| AND  |   |
| A.   | { Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and having its registered office at (hereinafter referred to as the "Third Part" which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context include its successors and permitted assigns)}  |
| AND  |   |
| 4.   | { Limited, a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and having its registered office at (hereinafter referred to as the "Fourth Part" which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context include its successors and permitted assigns)}\$  The above mentioned parties of the FIRST, SECOND, {THIRD and FOURTH} |
|      |   |

PART are collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each is individually referred to as a "Party"

#### WHEREAS,

- (B) The Parties are interested in jointly bidding for the Project as members of a Consortium and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the bid document and other bid documents in respect of the Project, and
- (C) It is a necessary condition under the bid document that the members of the Consortium shall enter into a Joint Venture Agreement and furnish a copy thereof with the Application.

#### NOW IT IS HEREBY AGREED as follows:

#### 1. Definitions and Interpretations

In this Agreement, the capitalised terms shall, unless the context otherwise requires, have the meaning ascribed thereto under the bid document.

#### 2. Consortium

- 2.1 The Parties do hereby irrevocably constitute a consortium (the "**Consortium**") for the purposes of jointly participating in the Bidding Process for the Project.
- 2.2 The Parties hereby undertake to participate in the Bidding Process only through this Consortium and not individually and/ or through any other consortium constituted for this Project, either directly or indirectly or through any of their

Associates.

#### 3. Covenants

The Parties hereby undertake that in the event the Consortium is declared the selected Bidder and awarded the Project, it shall have the same shareholding percentage till the completion of the study for performing all its obligations as the consultant in terms of the Contract Agreement for the Project.

#### 4. Joint and Several Liability

The Parties do hereby undertake to be jointly and severally responsible for all obligations and liabilities relating to the Project and in accordance with the terms of the bid document..

#### 5. Shareholding in the Consortium

5.1 The Parties agree that the proportion of shareholding among the Parties in the Consortium shall be as follows:

First Party:
Second Party:
{Third Party:}

{Fourth Party:}

#### 6. Representation of the Parties

Each Party represents to the other Parties as of the date of this Agreement that:

- (a) Such Party is duly organised, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of its incorporation and has all requisite power and authority to enter into this Agreement;
- (b) The execution, delivery and performance by such Party of this Agreement has been authorised by all necessary and appropriate corporate or governmental action and a copy of the extract of the charter

documents and board resolution/ power of attorney in favour of the person executing this Agreement for the delegation of power and authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Consortium Member is annexed to this Agreement, and will not, to the best of its knowledge:

- i. require any consent or approval not already obtained;
- ii. violate any Applicable Law presently in effect and having applicability to it;
- iii. violate the memorandum and articles of association, by-laws or other applicable organisational documents thereof;
- iv. violate any clearance, permit, concession, grant, license or other governmental authorisation, approval, judgement, order or decree or any mortgage agreement, indenture or any other instrument to which such Party is a party or by which such Party or any of its properties or assets are bound or that is otherwise applicable to such Party; or
- v. create or impose any liens, mortgages, pledges, claims, security interests, charges or encumbrances or obligations to create a lien, charge, pledge, security interest, encumbrances or mortgage in or on the property of such Party, except for encumbrances that would not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or prospects or business of such Party so as to prevent such Party from fulfilling its obligations under this Agreement;
- (a) this Agreement is the legal and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable in accordance with its terms against it; and
- (b) there is no litigation pending or, to the best of such Party's knowledge, threatened to which it or any of its Associates is a party that presently affects or which would have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or prospects or business of such Party in the fulfillment of its

obligations under this Agreement.

#### 7. Termination

This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and shall continue in full force until completion of the study if the project is awarded to the consortium. However, in case the Consortium is either not pre-qualified for the Project or does not get selected for award of the Project, the Agreement will stand terminated in case the Applicant is not pre-qualified or upon return of the Bid Security by the Authority to the Bidder, as the case may be.

#### 8. Miscellaneous

- 8.1 This Joint venture Agreement shall be governed by laws of India.
- 8.2 The Parties acknowledge and accept that this Agreement shall not be amended by the Parties without the prior written consent of the Authority.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF THE PARTIES ABOVE NAMED HAVE EXECUTED AND DELIVERED THIS AGREEMENT AS OF THE DATE FIRST ABOVE WRITTEN.

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED SIGN

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED

For and on behalf of

LEAD MEMBER by: SECOND PART

(Signature) (Signature) (Name)

(Designation) (Designation) (Address)

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED

For and on behalf of THIRD PART

For and on behalf FOURTH PART

(Signature) (Signature) (Name)

| (Designation) | (Designation) |
|---------------|---------------|
| (Address)     | (Address)     |

In the presence of:

1. 2.

#### Notes:

- The mode of the execution of the Joint Venture Agreement should be in accordance with the procedure, if any, laid down by the Applicable Law and the charter documents of the executant(s) and when it is so required, the same should be under common seal affixed in accordance with the required procedure.
- 2. Each Joint Venture Agreement should attach a copy of the extract of the charter documents and documents such as resolution / power of attorney in favour of the person executing this Agreement for the delegation of power and authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Consortium Member.
- 3. For a Joint Venture Agreement executed and issued overseas, the document shall be legalised by the Indian Embassy and notarized in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney has been executed.